The Banking Law Journal

Established 1889

An A.S. Pratt™ PUBLICATION

SEPTEMBER 2022

EDITOR'S NOTE: MUCH ADO ABOUT CRYPTO

Victoria Prussen Spears

NOT SO STABLE: STABLECOIN VOLATILITY CAUSING TURMOIL IN CRYPTO MARKETS Andrew S. Boutros, David N. Kelley, Steven A. Engel, Timothy Spangler Andrew J. Schaffer and Peter J. McGinley

CONGRESS' CRYPTO CRASH COURSE

J.C. Boggs, Ana B. Daily, Luke Roniger and John T. Morrison

WHAT TO WATCH FOR IN CRYPTO POLICYMAKING FOR THE REMAINDER OF 2022
Aaron Cutler and Chase Kroll

CRYPTOCURRENCY TRADING AGREEMENTSRichard J. Lee

NEW FEDERAL TRADE COMMISSION'S SAFEGUARDS RULE IS A GAME-CHANGER FOR EXTENDED WARRANTY AND GAP WAIVER INDUSTRIES

Brian T. Casey, Theodore P. Augustinos and Alexander R. Cox

FDIC AND CFPB ADOPT SWEEPING GUIDANCE ON DEPOSIT INSURANCE ADVERTISING Hugh C. Conroy, Jr., Brandon M. Hammer, Tom Bednar and Megan Lindgren

CONSUMER FINANCIAL PROTECTION BUREAU SUPPORTS BROAD ASSERTION OF STATE ENFORCEMENT POWER

Noah N. Gillespie, Kara A. Kuchar, Douglas I. Koff and Rebecca A. Raskind

BILL PROPOSED IN CONGRESS TO EXPAND PRIVACY OBLIGATIONS OF FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS Kirk J. Nahra, Tamar Y. Pinto and Ali A. Jessani

U.S. ISSUES GUIDANCE TO COMPANIES WARNING OF CYBERSECURITY AND SANCTIONS RISKS POSED BY IT WORKERS DIRECTED BY NORTH KOREA

Kevin E. Gaunt, Ryan A. Glasgow, Sevren R. Gourley, Michael La Marca William L. Newton and Aaron P. Simpson



THE BANKING LAW JOURNAL

VOLUME 139	NUMBER 8 Se	ptember 2022
Editor's Note: Much Ado A Victoria Prussen Spears	about Crypto	435
	Volatility Causing Turmoil in Crypto Markets I. Kelley, Steven A. Engel, Timothy Spangler, r J. McGinley	438
Congress' Crypto Crash Co J.C. Boggs, Ana B. Daily, Lu	Durse uke Roniger and John T. Morrison	446
What to Watch for in Cryp Aaron Cutler and Chase Kro	oto Policymaking for the Remainder of 2022	452
Cryptocurrency Trading As	greements	459
Extended Warranty and G.	ssion's Safeguards Rule Is a Game-Changer for AP Waiver Industries Augustinos and Alexander R. Cox	462
	reeping Guidance on Deposit Insurance Advertising n M. Hammer, Tom Bednar and Megan Lindgren	475
Enforcement Power	tion Bureau Supports Broad Assertion of State Kuchar, Douglas I. Koff and Rebecca A. Raskind	480
Bill Proposed in Congress t Kirk J. Nahra, Tamar Y. Pint	to Expand Privacy Obligations of Financial Institut o and Ali A. Jessani	tions 485
Posed by IT Workers Direc	asgow, Sevren R. Gourley, Michael La Marca,	Risks 489



QUESTIONS ABOUT THIS PUBLICATION?

For questions about the Editorial Content appearing in these volumes or reprint permission, please call:			
Matthew T. Burke at	(800) 252-9257		
Email: matthew.t.burket	@lexisnexis.com		
Outside the United States and Canada, please call	(973) 820-2000		
For assistance with replacement pages, shipments, billing or other customer service matters, please call:			
Customer Services Department at	(800) 833-9844		
Outside the United States and Canada, please call	(518) 487-3385		
Fax Number	(800) 828-8341		
Customer Service Website http://www.lexisnex	kis.com/custserv/		
For information on other Matthew Bender publications, please call			
Your account manager or	(800) 223-1940		
Outside the United States and Canada, please call	(937) 247-0293		

ISBN: 978-0-7698-7878-2 (print)

ISSN: 0005-5506 (Print) Cite this publication as:

The Banking Law Journal (LexisNexis A.S. Pratt)

Because the section you are citing may be revised in a later release, you may wish to photocopy or print out the section for convenient future reference.

This publication is designed to provide authoritative information in regard to the subject matter covered. It is sold with the understanding that the publisher is not engaged in rendering legal, accounting, or other professional services. If legal advice or other expert assistance is required, the services of a competent professional should be sought.

LexisNexis and the Knowledge Burst logo are registered trademarks of RELX Inc. Matthew Bender, the Matthew Bender Flame Design, and A.S. Pratt are registered trademarks of Matthew Bender Properties Inc.

Copyright © 2022 Matthew Bender & Company, Inc., a member of LexisNexis. All Rights Reserved. No copyright is claimed by LexisNexis or Matthew Bender & Company, Inc., in the text of statutes, regulations, and excerpts from court opinions quoted within this work. Permission to copy material may be licensed for a fee from the Copyright Clearance Center, 222 Rosewood Drive, Danvers, Mass. 01923, telephone (978) 750-8400.

Editorial Office 230 Park Ave., 7th Floor, New York, NY 10169 (800) 543-6862 www.lexisnexis.com

MATTHEW & BENDER

Editor-in-Chief, Editor & Board of Editors

EDITOR-IN-CHIEF

STEVEN A. MEYEROWITZ

President, Meyerowitz Communications Inc.

EDITOR

VICTORIA PRUSSEN SPEARS

Senior Vice President, Meyerowitz Communications Inc.

BOARD OF EDITORS

BARKLEY CLARK

Partner, Stinson Leonard Street LLP

CARLETON GOSS

Counsel, Hunton Andrews Kurth LLP

MICHAEL J. HELLER

Partner, Rivkin Radler LLP

SATISH M. KINI

Partner, Debevoise & Plimpton LLP

DOUGLAS LANDY

White & Case LLP

PAUL L. LEE

Of Counsel, Debevoise & Plimpton LLP

TIMOTHY D. NAEGELE

Partner, Timothy D. Naegele & Associates

STEPHEN J. NEWMAN

Partner, Stroock & Stroock & Lavan LLP

THE BANKING LAW JOURNAL (ISBN 978-0-76987-878-2) (USPS 003-160) is published ten times a year by Matthew Bender & Company, Inc. Periodicals Postage Paid at Washington, D.C., and at additional mailing offices. Copyright 2022 Reed Elsevier Properties SA., used under license by Matthew Bender & Company, Inc. No part of this journal may be reproduced in any form—by microfilm, xerography, or otherwise—or incorporated into any information retrieval system without the written permission of the copyright owner. For customer support, please contact LexisNexis Matthew Bender, 1275 Broadway, Albany, NY 12204 or e-mail Customer.Support@lexisnexis.com. Direct any editorial inquiries and send any material for publication to Steven A. Meyerowitz, Editor-in-Chief, Meyerowitz Communications Inc., 26910 Grand Central Parkway, #18R, Floral Park. NY 11005. smeyerowitz@meyerowitzcommunications.com, 631.291.5541. Material for publication is welcomed-articles, decisions, or other items of interest to bankers, officers of financial institutions, and their attorneys. This publication is designed to be accurate and authoritative, but neither the publisher nor the authors are rendering legal, accounting, or other professional services in this publication. If legal or other expert advice is desired, retain the services of an appropriate professional. The articles and columns reflect only the present considerations and views of the authors and do not necessarily reflect those of the firms or organizations with which they are affiliated, any of the former or present clients of the authors or their firms or organizations, or the editors or publisher.

POSTMASTER: Send address changes to The Banking Law Journal, LexisNexis Matthew Bender, 230 Park Ave, 7th Floor, New York, NY 10169.

POSTMASTER: Send address changes to THE BANKING LAW JOURNAL, A.S. Pratt & Sons, 805 Fifteenth Street, NW, Third Floor, Washington, DC 20005-2207.

Bill Proposed in Congress to Expand Privacy Obligations of Financial Institutions

By Kirk J. Nahra, Tamar Y. Pinto and Ali A. Jessani*

This article contains selected highlights from a discussion draft of new legislation seeking to amend the Gramm-Leach-Bliley Act ("GLBA") with the intent to "modernize GLBA to better align with our evolving technological landscape."

Congressman Patrick McHenry (NC-10), has released a discussion draft¹ ("Discussion Draft") of new legislation set to amend the Gramm-Leach-Bliley Act² ("GLBA") with the intent to "modernize GLBA to better align with our evolving technological landscape." The Discussion Draft was released a few days after the House Subcommittee on Consumer Protection and Commerce heard testimony from consumer advocates and industry representatives on the recently proposed bipartisan American Data Privacy and Protection Act ("the ADPPA").

THE DISCUSSION DRAFT

The Discussion Draft includes a broadening of the definition of "financial institutions" to include data aggregators and an expansion of "nonpublic personal information" ("NPI") to include information reasonably associated with an individual (such as inferences). It would also expand the general obligation to provide a GLBA notice to situations where a financial institution "collects" NPI (as opposed to only applying in situations where NPI is shared with third parties). The Discussion Draft further eliminates the distinction between "consumers" and "customers" under the GLBA; if passed, the law would protect both consumers and customers in the same manner.

While not identical to the ADPPA or to the comprehensive privacy laws that have been passed at the state level, this proposed bill would significantly expand

^{*} Kirk J. Nahra (kirk.nahra@wilmerhale.com), a partner at Wilmer Cutler Pickering Hale and Dorr LLP and co-chair of the firm's Cybersecurity and Privacy Practice and Big Data Practice, counsels clients across industries, from Fortune 500 companies to startups, on implementing the requirements of privacy and data security laws across the country and internationally, and he advocates for clients experiencing privacy and security breaches. Tamar Y. Pinto (tamar.pinto@wilmerhale.com) is an associate at the firm focusing on technology-related and privacy transactions and representing public and private companies on ongoing and transactional law matters. Ali A. Jessani (ali.jessani@wilmerhale.com) is a senior associate at the firm counseling clients on the privacy, cybersecurity and regulatory risks presented by new and proposed uses of technology and consumer information.

¹ https://republicans-financialservices.house.gov/uploadedfiles/glb_03_xml.pdf.

² Gramm-Leach-Bliley Act of 1999 (GLBA), Pub. L. No. 106–102, 113 Stat. 1338 (1999).

the privacy obligations of financial institutions, as well as have the effect of increasing the number of entities regulated under the GLBA. Financial institutions subject to the GLBA have previously avoided new privacy obligations for their core business offerings because the comprehensive state laws have generally exempted data processed pursuant to the GLBA. (Such an exemption would also exist under the ADPPA.) This proposal shows that Congress is paying attention to this particular issue.

Along with the Discussion Draft, Congressman McHenry also circulated a one-page summary³ and a section by section summary.⁴

HIGHLIGHTS

Below are selected highlights from the Discussion Draft:

- Obligations for the Collection of Data. The GLBA sets obligations regarding the disclosure of NPI by financial institutions. The Discussion Draft requires financial institutions to also disclose to consumers when their NPI is being collected, not just when it is being disclosed to third parties.
- Updates to the Definition of a Financial Institution. Under the GLBA, a financial institution is defined as "any institution the business of which is engaging in financial activities as described in section 4(k) of the Bank Holding Company act of 1956." The Discussion Draft expands the definition of financial institutions to also include data aggregators. A data aggregator is "any person that operates a commercial business for the purpose of "accessing, aggregating, collecting, selling or sharing nonpublic personal information about consumer financial accounts or transactions at the direction of a consumer." Notably, this update provides for an exception to service providers acting at the instruction of the financial institution such as marketeers offering the financial institution's products.
- Broadening the Definition of Nonpublic Information Covered. The Discussion Draft broadens personally identifiable financial information

³ Overview of Data Privacy Discussion Draft, House Financial Services Committee Republicans, August 11, 2022, https://republicans-financialservices.house.gov/uploadedfiles/fsc_gop_data_privacy_discussion_draft_one-pager.pdf.

⁴ Overview of Republican FSC Data Privacy Discussion Draft, August 11, 2022, https://republicans-financialservices.house.gov/uploadedfiles/data_privacy_discussion_draft_section-by-section.pdf.

⁵ GBLA § 509(3)(A).

- to also include "information that identifies, relates to, describes, is reasonably capable of being associated with, or could reasonably be linked, directly or indirectly, with a particular consumer," thereby expanding nonpublic personal information to also include inferences.
- *Notification of Third Parties.* The Discussion Draft requires that in the event that a financial institution is required to terminate the collection of NPI, such financial institution must notify its nonaffiliated third parties that sharing has been terminated. Such third parties must also terminate sharing of the consumer's NPI.
- Consumers versus Customers. Title V of the GLBA differentiates between customers and consumers. A consumer is an individual who receives or has received a financial product or service from a financial institution. "Customers" are a subcategory of consumers. Customers have a continuing relationship with a financial institution. For example, an individual using the ATM at a bank where such individual does not have an account, is a consumer. The isolated transactions, no matter how frequent, will not make the individual that bank's customer. The Discussion Draft eliminates this distinction by striking the use of "customer" altogether. For non-customer consumers, a consumer relationship exists as long as the financial institution is collecting, controlling, possessing, transmitting or maintaining any NPI of the consumer.
- *Transparency and Choice.* The Discussion Draft requires disclosures in the event that NPI is collected from consumers for a purpose other than to provide a specific product or service. Under such circumstances, the disclosure must include:
 - A description of such information;
 - The purpose for which such information is collected;
 - The opportunity to opt out of having such NPI collected or disclosed to a nonaffiliated third party;
 - The manner in which a consumer may make such opt out election;
 - ° The data retention policies;
 - The right to terminate the sharing of the NPI;
 - The right of the consumer to request a list of all the NPI collected; and
 - The right to request deletion of such NPI.

- Regulatory Authority. The federal banking agencies, the National Credit
 Union Administration, the Securities and Exchange Commission, and
 the Federal Trade Commission maintain rulemaking authority and
 enforcement under Section 505, as necessary. Per the Discussion Draft,
 the Secretary of the Treasury will no longer be involved in rulemaking
 of the GLBA. Further, agencies are not required to consult as
 appropriate with the National Association of Insurance Commissioners.
- Small Businesses. In consideration of small financial businesses, the Discussion Draft stipulates that agencies shall consider compliance costs imposed on smaller institutions when promulgating rules.
- Liability for Unauthorized Access. The Discussion Draft includes a new Section 505A to the GLBA concerning liability to consumers. Under the Discussion Draft, financial institutions will be fully liable to the consumer in the event that the NPI attained from such financial institution is used to make unauthorized access to the consumer's account.
- Preemption. In stark contrast to the GLBA that empowers states to expand protections over federal law, if appropriate, the Discussion Draft requires preemption and a national standard that is set to supersede any state law.